IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.46369-M of 2006

DATE OF DECISION:18.12.2006

Kalawati .....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & Anr. .....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL

Present:- Shri B.S. Sahora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Shri Partap Singh, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

None for respondent No.2.

****

Petitioner Kalawati, apprehending her arrest in complaint case No. 647-1 of 4.10.2004, titled as Darshna Devi versus Rajender and others under Sections 498-A,406,323 and 506 read with Section 34 IPC, pending in the Court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Hissar, has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for anticipatory bail.

As per office report, respondent No.2 has been served. But no one is present on behalf of respondent No.2.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been summoned in the aforesaid complaint, filed by respondent No.2. He further contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. The marriage of her son with respondent No.2 was performed in the year 1993 and the other accused in the case have already been released on bail.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that in terms of the order dated 8.8.2006, the petitioner has appeared before the trial Court and has furnished her regular bail bonds which have been accepted and attested by

JMIC, Hissar vide order dated 18.8.2006 (copy of the same has been placed on record) and since then she is regularly appearing before the trial Court.

In view of the above, the interim bail, granted vide order dated 8.8.2006 is made absolute.

Disposed of accordingly.

December 18, 2006 **(SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)**
pooja **JUDGE**